

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/679,970	10/05/2000	William E. Meyers	6734-8	1257	
21324	7590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER		
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			SUGARMAN, SCOTT J		
One GOJO Plaza Suite 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AKRON, OH 44311-1076			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/679,970	MEYERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott J. Sugarman	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 December 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 14-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 14-23 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the distinct or non-coaxial axes must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claim 21 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to deCarle and Marie et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over deCarle (US 4,704,016) taken alone or further in view of Marie et al (US 5,106,180). DeCarle teaches a corneal contact lens having a lens body with anterior and posterior surfaces where the posterior surface has a central zone (3) having a first curvature (col. 2, lines 64-65) and at least one first annular zone (1) having a second curvature (col. 2, lines 66-67) and where the second curvature is not coaxial with the first curvature (col. 3, lines 4-16). There is at least one second annular zone located around the first annular zone (2). Although deCarle teaches a central distance zone and annular near and distance zones, he does state that a bifocal result will occur as long as the relative portions of distance and near vision zones are essentially in balance (col. 6, lines 27-30) and states that occasionally the zones are reversed (col. 1,

Art Unit: 2873

lines 9-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a near central area and alternating distance and near annular zones, resulting in a flatter first annular zone, since this is within the teaching of deCarle as discussed above. A central zone radius of curvature greater than a first annular zone radius of curvature is taught by deCarle in the distance central, annular near embodiment.

DeCarle, as characterized by Marie et al (col. 3, lines 48-58) teaches that the annular rings (zones) will have different centers both geometrically and optically. "This means that each of these centers is located on a different optical axis" (Marie et al, col. 3, lines 56-58) which would result in distinct or not coaxial axes. The zones can be toroidal (deCarle, col. 1, lines 9-12). The connection between the curvature of the central zone and the peripheral (or annular) zone is made by the second curvature which blends the two zones together and has a separate curvature, the axes of which are not coaxial as discussed above.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-12 and 14-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

Page 5

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2873

March 9, 2005